



### The Value of a One-Year Indexed Term Strategy in a Structured Annuity

July 2023



According to the Life Insurance Marketing and Research Association (LIMRA) 2021 study on structured annuities, almost half of all structured annuity sales utilized a strategy term of five or six years. The other half was broken down between one and two-year indexed terms.<sup>1</sup> So why are so many advisors choosing to make their clients wait five or six years before interest gets credited to the policy? It's my opinion that the majority of advisors choose a strategy with the primary objective of avoiding a negative return. Maximizing growth is a secondary goal once the desired level of protection is chosen.

If my assumption is true, then longer term strategies make perfect sense. After all, if I go out six years and combine this with a 10% buffer, there's a slim chance that a client will experience a loss six years later. But how slim? Fortunately, SIMON from iCapital's Annuities Platform can help answer that question.

Let's take a look at an example of a structured annuity with a six-year point-to-point strategy with a 500% cap rate and 10% buffer on the S&P 500 (SPX). Using SIMON from iCapital's Annuities Platform, we can view the hypothetical performance metrics across six-year indexed terms between January 2, 1958 and July 7, 2023. We find that during this period, the index dropped in price more than 10% only 4.5% of the time over any rolling six-year period, and the average six-year return based on a 500% cap rate would have been 61.6%, or 8.33% per year.

Take another example of a structured annuity with the same six-year point-to-point strategy, but with a 350% cap rate and 15% buffer on SPX. During this same period, the hypothetical performance metrics show the percent of negative returns falls to just 1.91%. And how much upside do we give up? None. In fact, we actually gain upside by choosing the higher buffer with the lower cap rate. Why? Because the SPX has never increased in price more than 243.11% over any rolling six-year period. Therefore, unless we experience a historic bull market, both the 350% and 500% cap rates are effectively uncapped options. By eliminating some of the negative returns via the higher buffer and lower cap rate, we actually slightly increase historical average returns to 61.76%, or 8.35% per year.

#### **Exhibit 1: Structured Annuity Example**

Six-Year Point-to-Point Strategy with 500% Cap Rate and 10% Buffer on SPX

| Past Performance Statistics<br>Six-Year Indexed Term 01/02/1958 - 07/07/2023 |         |  |
|--|---------|--|
| Performance Indexed Terms  |         |  |
| Best   | 243.11% |  |
| Average  | 61.6%   |  |
| Worst  | -29.89% |  |

| Frequency of Returns | Indexed Terms |
|----------------------|---------------|
| Positive             | 87.36%        |
| Zero                 | 8.14%         |
| Negative             | 4.5%          |

#### **Exhibit 2: Structured Annuity Example**

Six-Year Point-to-Point Strategy with 350% Cap Rate and 15% Buffer on SPX

| Past Performance Statistics<br>Six-Year Indexed Term 01/02/1958 - 07/07/2023 |         |
|--|---------|
| Performance Indexed Terms  |         |
| Best   | 243.11% |
| Average  | 61.76%  |
| Worst  | -24.89% |

| Frequency of Returns | Indexed Terms |
|----------------------|---------------|
| Positive             | 87.36%        |
| Zero                 | 10.72%        |
| Negative             | 1.91%         |

Source: SIMON from iCapital, as of July 7, 2023. Assumes a six-year surrender charge period and a minimum initial premium of \$25,000. For illustrative purposes only. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Future results are not guaranteed.

From these examples, we can observe with six-year indexed terms, a buffer of at least 10% does indeed provide a great deal of protection.

### Comparing six-year indexed terms to one-year indexed terms

The next question we must ask is how does a six-year indexed term compare to a one-year indexed term?

Let's first take a look at an example of a structured annuity with a one-year point-to-point strategy with a 19.5% cap rate and 10% buffer on SPX. Again, we will go to SIMON from iCapital's Annuities Platform to analyze the hypothetical performance.

Using SIMON from iCapital's Annuities Platform, we find that across one-year indexed terms between January 7, 1958 and July 7, 2023, the best possible one-year return is obviously equal to the 19.5% cap rate, and the average one-year return would be 8.36%, slightly better than the 8.33% per year generated by the six-year point-to-point strategy with a 500% cap rate and 10% buffer on SPX. But

#### **Exhibit 3: Structured Annuity Example**

One-Year Point-to-Point Strategy with 19.5% Cap Rate and 10% Buffer on SPX

| Past Performance Statistics<br>One-Year Indexed Term 01/07/1958 - 07/07/2023 |         |
|--|---------|
| Performance Indexed Terms  |         |
| Best   | 19.5%   |
| Average  | 8.36%   |
| Worst  | -38.82% |

| Frequency of Returns | Indexed Terms |
|----------------------|---------------|
| Positive             | 72.75%        |
| Zero                 | 13.64%        |
| Negative             | 13.61%        |

Source: SIMON from iCapital, as of July 7, 2023. Assumes no surrender charge period and a minimum initial premium of \$25,000. For illustrative purposes only. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Future results are not guaranteed.

then we see the worst possible one-year return of -38.82%. That means there was a one-year period where SPX fell 48.82%, leaving a negative return of 38.82% after the 10% buffer. Not a good outcome for the client.

In addition, the 10% buffer would have failed to fully protect the client 13.61% over the time period. In other words, in one out of every eight years an advisor would have to tell the client that, while it could have been worse, the value of their structured annuity dropped during the year.

The high usage of the longer term strategies tells me that advisors are trying to avoid that conversation. And at first glance, it appears as though they are making the right choice. After all, why almost triple the odds of a negative return if the historical average returns are very similar?

#### The Value of the Annual Reset

But let's remember that we are not buying the structured annuity for just a year. If it's a commissionable structured annuity, it likely comes with a surrender charge period of five or six years. Even if it's an advisory structured annuity, you're likely planning to have the client own the annuity for five to 10 years, otherwise they are not getting much benefit from the annuity's tax deferral. Assume for a moment we just finished one of those down years. The next year's results will be based on the now lower price of the SPX. Unlike the longer term strategy, the client does not have to wait until the market recovers to see gains in subsequent years because the index strike price is reset at the lower price.

Therefore, to compare apples to apples, we need to compare the results of the six-year indexed term to the results of six consecutive one-year indexed terms. Fortunately, we can also perform this analysis within the SIMON from iCapital Annuities Platform by viewing the hypothetical performance across six consecutive rolling one-year indexed terms, rather than a single year. In other words, we are now looking at an indication of how the policy would have performed over the entire surrender charge period.

When we look at rolling six consecutive one-year indexed terms, we see a much different picture. Due to the reset in the index after a down year, there is an increased chance of getting a positive return the following year, thereby smoothing out returns over the surrender charge period. In fact, we can see from SIMON from iCapital's data that while our historical average annual return is essentially the same (8.33% and 8.35% for the six-year strategies vs. 8.28% for the one-year strategy), our historical negative returns over the entire six-year period falls to less than 1% - even less than the six-year point-to-point strategy with a 15% buffer on the very same index. Based on the data, we can also observe that this particular approach likely led to a zero negative return in one or two of those years. Therefore, while lower negative returns have resulted over the full six-year surrender charge period, some years did incur a loss of principal.

#### Conclusion

The good news is that given today's higher rates, any of these strategies are likely to provide acceptable returns with mitigated downside risk. If the goal is to minimize the chances that your client will receive a negative return at the end of a selected strategy term, then the choice of a structured annuity with five or six-year indexed terms and at least a 10% buffer will serve that objective. But, if the goal is to give the most protection during the entire surrender charge period, then a structured annuity with successive one-year indexed terms, with a reset the index level each year, may be a better solution.

#### **Exhibit 4: Structured Annuity Example**

One-Year Point-to-Point Strategy with 19.5% Cap Rate and 10% Buffer on SPX

| Past Performance Statistics<br>Six Consecutive One-Year Indexed Terms 01/02/1958 - 07/07/2023 |                         |                           |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Performance   | Strategy Return Periods | Annualized Average Return |
| Best  | 172.06%                 | 18.15%                    |
| Average   | 61.21%                  | 8.28%                     |
| Worst   | -14.81%                 | -2.62%                    |

| Frequency of Returns | Strategy Return Periods | Indexed Terms |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Positive             | 99.15%                  | 70.95%        |
| Zero                 | 0%                      | 15.51%        |
| Negative             | .85%                    | 13.54%        |

| Positive Indexed Terms in Strategy Return Period | Frequency |
|--|-----------|
| At least 1 out of 6 Indexed Terms                | 100%      |
| At least 2 out of 6 Indexed Terms                | 99.98%    |
| At least 3 out of 6 Indexed Terms                | 98.06%    |
| At least 4 out of 6 Indexed Terms                | 83.87%    |
| At least 5 out of 6 Indexed Terms                | 43.5%     |
| At least 6 out of 6 Indexed Terms                | 11.97%    |

Source: SIMON from iCapital, as of July 7, 2023. Assumes no surrender charge period and a minimum initial premium of \$25,000. For illustrative purposes only. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Future results are not guaranteed.

#### **ENDNOTES**

1. LIMRA, A Deeper Dive: 2021 Registered Index-Linked Sales

#### COMPOSED BY



Scott Stolz, CFP, RICP Managing Director iCapital Solutions

## iCapital.

#### **IMPORTANT INFORMATION – DISCLAIMER**

ANNUITIES ARE CONSIDERED COMPLEX PRODUCTS AND MAY NOT BE SUITABLE FOR ALL INVESTORS.

This is not intended to be an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any security or to employ a specific investment strategy. This material is intended as general background information, for educational purposes only, and should not be used as a primary basis to make a decision to purchase an annuity contract. This material is being provided for informational purposes only and does not take into account any specific investment objectives or financial situation of any investor. The information is not intended as investment advice and is not a recommendation about managing or investing retirement savings. Actual annuity contracts may differ materially from the general overview provided.

Prior to making any decision with respect to an annuity contract, purchasers must review, as applicable, the offering document, the disclosure document, and the buyer's guide which contain detailed and additional information about the annuity. Any annuity contract is subject in its entirety is to the terms and conditions imposed by the carrier under the contract. Withdrawals or surrenders may be subject to surrender charges, and/or market value adjustments, which can reduce the owner's contract value or the actual withdrawal amount received. Withdrawals and distributions of taxable amounts are subject to ordinary income tax and, if made prior to age 59½, may be subject to an additional 10% federal income tax penalty. Annuities are not FDIC-insured. All references to guarantees arising under an annuity contract are subject to the financial strength and claims-paying ability of the carrier. This does not constitute legal, accounting or tax adviser regarding the instruments described in this material.

This material may not, without the prior written consent of iCapital, Inc. or an iCapital, Inc. subsidiary, be (i) copied, photocopied, or duplicated in any form, by any means, or (ii) distributed to any person that is not an employee, officer, director, or authorized agent of the recipient. iCapital, Inc. and its subsidiaries shall have no liability, contingent or otherwise, to the user or to third parties, for the quality, accuracy, timeliness, continued availability, or completeness or to update any data contained in this material nor for any special, indirect, incidental or consequential damages which may be incurred or experienced because of the use of the data made available herein, even if iCapital, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries has been advised of the possibility of such damages. This material was not prepared with a view to public disclosure or to conform with any disclosure standards under any state, federal or international securities laws or other laws, rules or regulations, and iCapital, Inc. and its subsidiaries do not take any responsibility for the use of the use of the information in this material.

Annuities and insurance services provided by SIMON Annuities and Insurance Services LLC, an affiliate of iCapital, Inc. Structured investment and certain annuities products and services may be offered through SIMON Markets LLC. Alternative investment products and services may be offered through iCapital Securities, LLC. iCapital Securities LLC and SIMON Markets LLC are each a registered broker/ dealer, member FINRA and SIPC, and an affiliate of Institutional Capital Network, Inc. ("iCapital"). These registrations and memberships in no way imply that the SEC, FINRA, or SIPC have endorsed the entities, products or services discussed herein. iCapital and iCapital Network are registered trademarks of Institutional Capital Network, Inc.

© 2023 SIMON Markets LLC. All rights reserved. © 2023 Institutional Capital Network, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

# iCapital.

60 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10165 212.994.7400

www.icapital.com

#### North America

New York City Princeton Greenwich Boston Boca Raton Toronto Birmingham

### Zurich London Lisbon

Hong Kong Singapore